

SIMULATION STUDY ON BEHAVIOUR OF
REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAM WITH
VARIOUS SIZING AND SHAPE OF
OPENING
IN SHEAR ZONE

CHONG KHANG ZEN

B. ENG(HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the Bachelor Degree of Civil Engineering

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : MOHD ARIF BIN SULAIMAN

Position : Lecturer

Date : 11 June 2018



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : CHONG KHANG ZEN

ID Number : AA14178

Date : 11 June 2018

SIMULATION STUDY ON BEHAVIOUR OF
REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAM WITH
VARIOUS SIZING AND SHAPE OF OPENING
IN SHEAR ZONE

CHONG KHANG ZEN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank the Faculty of Civil Engineering & Earth Resources, Universiti Malaysia Pahang for giving me the opportunity to do this study.

Besides, I would also like to thank my research supervisor, Mr Mohd Arif Bin Sulaiman. Without his guidance and dedicated involvement throughout the process, this study would have never been accomplished.

I must express my gratitude to my family for providing me continuous support mentally and physically throughout my years of study and the production process of this thesis. None of this could have happened without the supports from my family.

Last but not least, I would like to thank everyone who in one way or another contributed in the completion of this thesis. Thank you.

ABSTRAK

Setiap bangunan perlu memasukkan paip dan saluran untuk pemasangan perkhidmatan mekanik dan elektrik. Dengan membuat pembukaan pada rasuk konkrit bertetulang boleh memberikan laluan untuk memasang semua paip dan saluran tersebut. Walau bagaimanapun, kesan pembentukan bukaan pada rasuk konkrit bertetulang perlu dipelajari untuk mengelakkan kegagalan rasuk. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menentukan kesan pelbagai saiz pembukaan sekeliling dan bentuk pembukaan yang berbeza pada zon ricih konkrit bertetulang bertulang dari segi beban muktamad, pesongan dan retak. Ansys 12.0 digunakan dalam kajian ini untuk mensimulasikan ujian pemuatan 4 titik pada sejumlah 6 rasuk. Satu rasuk konkrit bertetulang pepejal yang dinamakan RCB S. Tiga rasuk yang mempunyai diameter pembukaan pusingan diameter yang berbeza iaitu 60mm, 80mm dan 100mm, yang dilabelkan sebagai RCB 1, RCB 2 dan RCB 3 masing-masing. Rasuk 2 yang lain dengan pembukaan segi empat tepat dan pembukaan persegi ditetapkan sebagai RCB 4 dan RCB 5 masing-masing. Saiz pembukaan bentuk pembukaan yang berbeza bersamaan dengan saiz pembukaan sekeliling 100mm. Semua rasuk mempunyai bahagian silang yang sama 120mm X 300mm X 2000mm dan susunan tetulang tidak berubah. Berdasarkan hasilnya, kemasukan pembukaan sekeliling dengan garis pusat yang lebih besar daripada atau sama dengan kedalaman rasuk 0.27 akan mengurangkan kapasiti muatan akhir dengan sekurang-kurangnya 21% sementara pesongan pertengahan rentang menurun sekurang-kurangnya 64%. Di samping itu, dalam kajian ini, bentuk optimum bagi pembukaan adalah pembukaan segi empat tepat kerana ia mempunyai beban muktamad tertinggi. Walau bagaimanapun, bukaan dengan sudut tajam menyebabkan tekanan tertumpu di kawasan yang menyebabkan keretakan awal berlaku. Kesimpulannya, diameter pembukaan sekeliling yang kurang daripada atau sama dengan kedalaman 0.2 rasuk akan tetap menjadi tingkah laku rasuk konkrit bertetulang pepejal.

ABSTRACT

Every building need to include pipes and ducts for the installation of mechanical and electrical services. By creating an opening at the reinforced concrete beam can provide a passage to install all of these pipes and ducts. However, the effects of creating an opening at the reinforced concrete beam have to be studied in order to prevent the failure of the beam. The aim of this study is to determine the effect of various size of circular opening and different shapes of openings on the shear zone of reinforced concrete beam in terms of ultimate load, deflection and cracking. Ansys 12.0 was used in this study to simulate 4 point loading test on a total of 6 beams. A solid reinforced concrete beam named as RCB S. Three beams with different size of circular opening in diameter which is 60mm, 80mm and 100mm, labelled as RCB 1, RCB 2 and RCB 3 respectively. The other 2 beams with rectangular opening and square opening designated as RCB 4 and RCB 5 respectively. The opening size of different shapes of opening was equivalent to the size of a 100mm circular opening. All beams have identical cross section of 120mm X 300mm X 2000mm and the reinforcement arrangement unchanged. Based on the result, inclusion of a circular opening with diameter larger than or equal to 0.27 of the beam depth will reduce the ultimate load capacity by at least 21% while the mid-span deflection decrease by at least 64%. Besides that, in this study the optimum shape for opening is rectangular opening as it has the highest ultimate load. However, openings with sharp corners causes stress to be concentrated at the area which leads to early cracking to occur. In conclusion, the diameter of circular opening less than or equal to 0.2 depth of the beam will remain the behaviour of a solid reinforced concrete beam.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION

TITLE PAGE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS **ii**

ABSTRAK **iii**

ABSTRACT **iv**

TABLE OF CONTENT **v**

LIST OF TABLES **viii**

LIST OF FIGURES **ix**

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION **1**

1.1 Introduction 1

1.2 Problem Statement 3

1.3 Objective 5

1.4 Scope of Study 5

1.5 Significance of Study 8

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW **9**

2.1 General 9

2.2 Failure Mode of Beam 9

2.2.1 Beam-type Failure 10

2.2.2 Frame-type Failure 10

2.3 Size of Opening 11

2.4 Location of Opening 12

2.5 Shear Zone 13

2.6	Shape of Opening	14
2.7	Deflection	14
2.8	Ultimate Load	16
2.9	Type of Cracking	17
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		18
3.1	General	18
3.2	Preprocessing	20
3.2.1	Activate CivilFEM	20
3.2.2	Materials	21
3.2.3	Element Type	22
3.2.4	Real Constant	23
3.2.5	Modelling	24
3.2.6	Meshing	25
3.3	Solution	27
3.3.1	Boundary Condition	27
3.3.2	Loading	28
3.3.3	Solve Load Step	29
3.4	Postprocessor	31
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		33
4.1	General	33
4.2	Ultimate Load	33
4.3	Deflection	37
4.4	Cracking	45
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION		52

5.1	General	52
5.2	Conclusion	52
5.3	Recommendations	53
REFERENCES		54

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Beam Dimensions	7
-----------	-----------------	---

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Beam with Opening	3
Figure 1.2	Beam with Opening	3
Figure 1.3	Failure at Opening of Beam	4
Figure 1.4	Cross Section of Beam	6
Figure 1.5	Section View of Beam and Location of Opening	6
Figure 1.6	4 Point Loading Test	7
Figure 2.1	Beam-type Failure	10
Figure 2.2	Frame-type Failure	10
Figure 2.3	Model for the Estimation of Deflection at Opening	15
Figure 2.4	Types of Cracking	17
Figure 3.1	Flow Chart for ANSYS	19
Figure 3.2	Activate CivilFEM	20
Figure 3.3	Select the Code Used	20
Figure 3.4	Select System of Units Used	21
Figure 3.5	Material Used	21
Figure 3.6	Element Type Used	22
Figure 3.7	Real Constant for 6mm Steel Reinforcement Bar	23
Figure 3.8	Real Constant for 10mm Steel Reinforcement Bar	23
Figure 3.9	Real Constant for 12mm Steel Reinforcement Bar	23
Figure 3.10	Model of Reinforced concrete beam with 100mm circular opening	24
Figure 3.11	Reinforcement of reinforced concrete beam	25
Figure 3.12	Meshed model	26
Figure 3.13	Meshed reinforcement	26
Figure 3.14	Boundary conditions of the model	27
Figure 3.15	Loads applied on model	28
Figure 3.16	Tab of 'Basic'	29
Figure 3.17	Tab of 'Sol'n options'	29
Figure 3.18	Tab of 'Nonlinear'	30
Figure 3.19	Tab of 'Advanced NL'	30
Figure 3.20	Solve load step	30
Figure 3.21	Load-deflection of reinforced concrete beam with 100mm circular opening	31
Figure 3.22	Deflection along the span of reinforced concrete beam with 100mm circular opening	32

Figure 3.23	Cracking of reinforced concrete beam with 100mm circular opening	32
Figure 4.1	Ultimate Load of RCB S, RCB 1, RCB 2 and RCB 3	36
Figure 4.2	Ultimate Load of RCB 3, RCB 4 and RCB 5	37
Figure 4.3	RCB S Load-Deflection curve	39
Figure 4.4	RCB 1 Load-Deflection curve	40
Figure 4.5	RCB 2 Load-Deflection curve	40
Figure 4.6	RCB 3 Load-Deflection curve	41
Figure 4.7	RCB 4 Load-Deflection curve	41
Figure 4.8	RCB 5 Load-Deflection curve	42
Figure 4.9	RCB S deflection along the span	42
Figure 4.10	RCB 1 deflection along the span	43
Figure 4.11	RCB 2 deflection along the span	43
Figure 4.12	RCB 3 deflection along the span	44
Figure 4.13	RCB 4 deflection along the span	44
Figure 4.14	RCB 5 deflection along the span	45
Figure 4.15	RCB S crack pattern	49
Figure 4.16	RCB 1 crack pattern	49
Figure 4.17	RCB 2 crack pattern	50
Figure 4.18	RCB 3 crack pattern	50
Figure 4.19	RCB 4 crack pattern	51
Figure 4.20	RCB 5 crack pattern	51

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

In the structure of a building, reinforced concrete beam plays an important role in transferring the load. It is a horizontal member of a structure which carries transverse loads from floor slab or roof slab which then transfer all the loads including its own self weight to the columns or walls. Beams can have different type of supports such as simply supported beam, fixed beam, cantilever beam and continuous beam. The type of support we will be using for this research is simply supported beam. Nowadays, the construction of modern building requires to include a lot of pipes and ducts for the installation of essential services such as air conditioning, electricity, network cables and water supply system. Creating an opening in reinforced concrete beams can provide a passage to install all of these pipes and ducts. The routes of the pipes and ducts are usually located underneath the beam soffit which will then be covered by a suspended ceiling for aesthetic reason, thus creating a dead space (Mansur, 2006). The dead space will increase the storey-height which will also contribute to the total height of the building. Therefore, installation of pipes and ducts through the opening in reinforced concrete beam can reduce the height of the dead space and leads to a reduction in the total height of the building. Besides that, the weight of concrete beam will also be slightly reduced, thus allowing the designer to produce a more economical design. For a construction of a low-rise building, the cost saving might not be as significant as a construction of a high rise building. This is because that for a high rise building, any reduction in the storey-height are multiplied with the total number of stories of the building which will result in major cost saving.

Most of the time, structural engineers will place the opening at areas that can avoid the beams from losing its original properties. Mansur & Tan (1999) states that in

order to avoid the critical region for shear failure, openings should not be placed closer than one-half of the beam's depth, D to the supports and avoid placing openings closer than $0.5D$ to any concentrated load. However, there are situations that the opening will be required to create at critical region where shear is the main concern in order to simplify the installation and arrangement of the pipes and ducts. In the shear zone of a beam, the beam experiences greater shear load which tends to have a greater tendency to produce a sliding failure on a material along a plane that is parallel to the direction of the force. The creation of opening in shear zone will cause discontinuity in the normal flow of stresses which will lead to greater reduction of the beam shear capacity and stiffness of beam. As the opening depth or size increases, the greater the reduction in shear capacity of the beam. The reduction of shear capacity will be more significant when the opening is located at the line connecting the load and support points (Jithinbos et al, 2016).

Opening can be classified into pre-planned and post-planned. Post-planned openings are known after the structure have been constructed. It will involve drilling to create an opening at the existing structure. This might be risky because the opening might locate at areas that will affect the reinforcement or affect the behavior of the structure. Pre-planned openings are better than post-planned opening because the shape, size and location are known in advance during the design stage for pre-planned openings. Thus, designer can take the opening into consideration during the designing stage and provide proper reinforcement for the opening to ensure that sufficient strength and serviceability of beams with opening. In addition, opening in beams may come in different types of shapes such as circular, rectangular, triangular, diamond, trapezoidal and even irregular shapes (Prentzas, 1968). Although there are a variety of shapes available for opening, circular and rectangular openings are the most common shape being used in construction. Circular openings are normally for the passage of service pipes such as plumbing, network cables and electric while rectangular openings are required to provide passage for air-conditioning ducts (Mansur & Tan, 1999). However, opening shapes that consist of sharp corners are required to be rounded off to reduce the stress concentration at sharp corners and reduce the possibility of cracking at the sharp corners. In terms of the size of openings, many people use the term small and large to differentiate the size of opening because there are no standards that can be used to define the size of opening. According to Mansur & Tan (1999), classification of small and large opening based on the structural response of the beam. When the opening is small enough to maintain the solid beam

behaviour, the opening can be classify as small opening. When the beam fails due to the opening, then the opening can be classify as large opening.

1.2 Problem Statement

Nowadays in the field of construction, reinforced concrete beams are frequently required to create a web opening in it to allow the passage for utility services such as pipes and ducts for the air-conditioning, water supply system, electricity, and telecommunication and computer network cables. Usage of reinforced concrete beams with opening for construction projects has increased significantly due to the convenience and economic considerations, especially for high rise building because opening in beams enable the designer to reduce the total height of the whole building. Circular opening can be classified as small or big opening which is normally differentiate using depth-to-diameter of the opening. Besides that, opening can also come in different type of shapes but the common shape of opening used in construction is the circular and rectangular. Figure 1.1 and Figure 1.2 shows the reinforced concrete beam with opening.



Figure 1.1 Beam with Opening



Figure 1.2 Beam with Opening

REFERENCES

- Abdalla, H. A., Torkey, A. M., Haggag, H. A., & Abu-Amira, A. F. (2003). Design against cracking at openings in reinforced concrete beams strengthened with composite sheets. *Composite Structures*, 60(2), 197–204. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-8223\(02\)00305-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-8223(02)00305-7)
- Abdulla, P. S. (2015). Shear and Flexural Behaviour of R . C . C . Beam With Circular Opening Strengthened By CFRP Sheets. *Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, 5(4), 101–104.
- Al-sheikh, S. A. (2014). Flexural behaviour of RC beams with opening. *Concrete Research Letters*, 5(2), 812–824.
- Allam, S. M. (2012). Strengthening of RC beams with large openings in shear zone. *International Science Index*, (January 2005), 1–6.
- Alsaeq, H. M. (2013). Effects of Opening Shape and Location on the Structural Strength of R . C . Deep Beams with Openings, 7(6), 1365–1370.
- Amiri, S., & Masoudnia, R. (2011). Investigation of the Oppening Effects on the Behavior of Concrete Beams Without Additional Reinforcement in Opening Region Using Fem Method, 5(5), 617–627. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.2108.1041>
- Aykac, B., Aykac, S., Kalkan, I., Dundar, B., & Can, H. (2014). Flexural behavior and strength of reinforced concrete beams with multiple transverse openings. *ACI Structural Journal*, 111(2), 267–277. <https://doi.org/10.14359/51686442>
- Aziz, A. H. (2016). Experimental and Theoretical Evaluation for Effect of Openings Location on Shear Strength of Rc Beams, 20(1), 1–15.
- Campione, G., & Minafò, G. (2012). Behaviour of concrete deep beams with openings and low shear span-to-depth ratio. *Engineering Structures*, 41, 294–306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2012.03.055>
- Chin, S. C., Shafiq, N., Nuruddin, M. F., & Farhan, S. A. (2012). Strengthening of RC beams with large openings in shear by CFRP laminates. *International Science Index*, 4(9), 1–6.
- Daniel, J. J. (2014). Experimental Investigation on flextural strength of Beams with Opening. *International Journal of Research in Management & Technology (IJRMT)*, 4(2), 141–143.
- Dr. Latha, M S & Naveen, K. B. M. (2017). Behavior of Reinforced Concrete. *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology (IJCIET)*, 8(7), 581–593.
- El-kareim Shoeib, A., & El-sayed Sedawy, A. (2017). Shear strength reduction due to introduced opening in loaded RC beams. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 13(November 2016), 28–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.job.2017.04.004>
- El-Maaddawy, T., & El-Ariss, B. (2012). Behavior of Concrete Beams with Short Shear Span and Web Opening Strengthened in Shear with CFRP Composites. *Journal of Composites for Construction*, 16(1), 47–59. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)CC.1943-5614.0000237](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CC.1943-5614.0000237)
- Ibrahim, Amer M & Erfan, A. (2017). Finite element modeling of reinforced concrete beams with openings. *Jokull Journal*, 67(9), 16–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2011.07.018>

- J Job Thomas Nidhin B Parappattu, J. K. (2016). Effect of Openings in Beams – a Review. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Advanced Engineering*, 9(3), 2349–2763.
- KUM, Y. (2011). Cracking Mode and Shear Strength of Lightweight Concrete Beams.
- Kumar, M., Mangalagi, S., & Harsoor, R. (2013). Behavior of R . C . C . Beam With Circular Opening. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, 92–96.
- Mansur, M.A., K.-H. T. (1999). Concrete Beams with Openings Analysis and Design.
- Mansur, M. a. (1979). Concrete Beams with Small Openings under Torsion. *Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE*, 105 (ST11, Nov.), 2433- 2447.
- Mansur, M. A. (1998). Effect of Openings on the Behaviour and Strength of R/C Beams in Shear. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 20(6), 477–486. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-9465\(98\)00030-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-9465(98)00030-4)
- Mansur, M. A. (2006). Design of Reinforced Concrete Beams with Web Openings. *Proceedings of the 6th Asia-Pacific Structural Engineering and Construction Conference*, (September), 5–6.
- Morsy, A. M., & Barima, A. M. (2018). Performance of R . C . Beams with Different Openings Schemes and Strengthening Methods. *International Journal Of Advancement In Engineering Technology, Management and Applied Science*, 5(2), 1–26.
- Osman, B. H., Wu, E., Ji, B., & Abdulhameed, S. S. (2017). Shear behavior of Reinforced Concrete (RC) beams with circular web openings without additional shear reinforcement. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 21(1), 296–306. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12205-016-0387-7>
- Prentzas, E.G. (1968). Behaviour and reinforcement of concrete beams with large rectangular apertures. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Sheffield, U.K., Sept., 230 p.
- Rezwana Binte Hafiz, Shaibal Ahmed, Saikat Barua, & Sharmin Reza Chowdhury. (2014). Effects of Opening on the Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Beam. *IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE)*, 11(2), 52–61. <https://doi.org/10.9790/1684-11275261>
- Saksena, N. H., & Patel, P. G. (2013). Effects of the circular openings on the behaviour of concrete beams without additional reinforcement in opening region using FEM method. *International Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology*, 4(2), 40–42.
- Somes, N.F. and Corley, W.G. (1974). Circular openings in webs of continuous beams. Shear in Reinforced Concrete, Special Publication SP-42, American Concrete Institute, Detroit, 359 - 398.
- Suresh, J., & Prabhavathy, R. A. (2014). Behaviour of Steel Fibre Reinforced Concrete Beams with Duct Openings Strengthened by Steel Plates. *International Journal of Advanced Information Science and Technology*, 28(28), 86–94.
- Zdanowicz, Ł., & Wojdak, R. (2013). Structural analysis of a failed rc beam with openings in a building under construction. *Technical transactions, R. 110*, , 157–168.